

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/057,314	<b>Applicant(s)</b> EGILSSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Apu M Mofiz	<b>Art Unit</b> 2165	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Mary Lou Wakimura

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Apu M Mofiz

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 3 February 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 NONE

Claims discussed:  
 1,8,15 and 17


Prior art documents discussed:  
 NONE

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
 See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Applicant and the Examiner agreed to add the phrase "computer implemented" in the preamble of all the independent claims to overcome possible 101 related issue and also add the limitation of claim 2 in the independent claims to further clarify the invention claimed..